

Mixed-Morphology Pairs as a Breeding Ground for Active Nuclei

Donovan L. Domingue

*Department of Chemistry and Physics, Georgia College and State University, CBX 082,
Milledgeville, GA 31061*

donovan.domingue@gcsu.edu

and

Jack W. Sulentic and Adriana Durbala

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Box 870324, Tuscaloosa,
AL 35487*

giacomo@merlot.astr.ua.edu, adriana.durbala@ua.edu

ABSTRACT

Mixed morphology pairs offer a simplification of the interaction equation that involves a gas-rich fast rotator paired with a gas-poor slow rotator. In past low resolution IRAS studies it was assumed that the bulk of the far infrared emission originated in the spiral component. However our ISO studies revealed a surprising number of early-type components with significant IR emission some of which turned out to show active nuclei. This motivated us to look at the current statistics of active nuclei in mixed pairs using the FIR-radio continuum correlation as a diagnostic. We find a clear excess of early-type components with radio continuum emission and an active nucleus. We suggest that they arise more often in mixed pairs via cross fueling of gas from the spiral companion. This fuel is more efficiently channeled into the nucleus of the slow rotating receptor. In a sample of 112 mixed-morphology pairs from the Karachentsev catalog we find that about 25-30% of detected mixed pairs show a displacement from the radio-FIR relation defined by normal star forming galaxies. The latter objects show excess radio continuum emission while others extend the relation to unusually high radio and FIR flux levels. Many of the outliers/extreme emitters involve an early-type component with an active nucleus. The paired E/S0 galaxies in the sample exhibit a significant excess detection fraction and a marginal excess luminosity distribution compared to isolated unpaired E/S0 galaxies.

Subject headings: galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD — galaxies: interactions — galaxies: spiral — radio continuum: galaxies

1. Introduction

Binary galaxies play an important role in the study of galaxy evolution because they represent the most common interaction scenario and comprise about 10% of galaxies in the non-clustered Universe (Xu & Sulentic 1991). Gravitational interactions in pairs affect galaxy evolution because these encounters can: 1) redistribute gas within each galaxy, 2) enhance the level of star formation (Keel et al. 1985; Xu & Sulentic 1991) and possibly 3) stimulate active nuclei. Between 15–25% of a reasonably unbiased sample of binary galaxies involves systems with mixed (E/S0+S) morphology (e.g. Karachentsev 1972; Reduzzi & Rampazzo 1995). They are perhaps the most puzzling and, at the same time, a useful simplification of the galaxy-galaxy interaction equation involving a gas-rich rapid-rotator paired with a gas-poor companion with low specific angular momentum. Mixed pairs minimize the role of the relative orientation of pair component spin vectors in driving interaction-induced effects (e.g. Keel 1991). The late-type spiral component should be the primary source of gas in a mixed pair and this is reflected in HI studies (see e.g. Zasov & Sulentic 1994). It is therefore expected to be the site of all or most star formation and nuclear activity. The activity might be stimulated by gravitational perturbations from the early-type companion. Evidence for significant amounts of gas and/or star formation/active nuclei in early-type components have not been reported and are not expected.

Mid and far infrared (MIR/FIR) emission has proven to be one of the most sensitive diagnostics of interaction induced enhancements in pairs (Kennicutt et al. 1987; Sulentic 1989; Xu & Sulentic 1991; Hernandez-Toledo et al. 1999,2001). It is especially useful for assessing the level of star formation enhancement in such pairs. Radio continuum observations also reveals excess detections and higher flux levels in binary galaxies (Sulentic 1976; Condon et al. 1982). Throughout the history of IR studies, largely exploiting the IRAS database, the working hypothesis was that MIR/FIR emission originated largely from late-type galaxies in unresolved pairs and groups. This gives mixed pairs a possible advantage over spiral-spiral pairs where both components are expected to produce similar levels of radio and IR emission. In mixed pairs we can study interaction properties and infer which component is likely responsible for most of the long wavelength emission. Higher resolution ISO observations for about a dozen mixed pairs revealed that the above working hypothesis was violated in many of the pairs. ISO maps, H α images and literature sources revealed pairs where AGN, LINER and starburst nuclei were present in the early-type component. In fact more Seyfert nuclei were found in the early-type components of our ISO mixed pairs sample (Domingue et al. 2003) than in our ISO sample of n=8 S+S pairs (Xu et al. 2000). The ISO E+S and S+S samples were similarly selected for study on the basis of FIR brightness and signs of interaction. Since spiral galaxies are thought to preferentially harbor Seyfert galaxies we expected equal or greater numbers of active nuclei in our ISO S+S sample (16 spirals vs.

12 spirals in the S+S and E+S samples, respectively). All galaxies in the S+S sample have good spectroscopic data available while a number of the E+S pairs have only a pre-CCD redshift determination. While the numbers are small, the ISO data raised the possibility of a significant population of active early-type galaxies in mixed pairs.

It is no longer surprising that interacting galaxies show evidence for enhanced non-thermal radio continuum emission because a surprisingly strong correlation exists between IR and radio flux measures in star forming galaxies (de Jong et al. 1985; Helou, Soifer, & Rowan-Robinson 1985). The correlation apparently reflects a close coupling between thermal and non-thermal processes related to star formation. Many radio continuum surveys of early-type galaxies now exist (Sadler, Jenkins, & Kotanyi 1989; Wrobel & Heeschen 1991; Slee et al. 1994) and, like IR results, (e.g. Knapp, Gunn, & Wynn-Williams 1992) show low detection/flux levels. AGN often display excess radio emission which displaces them above the FIR-radio correlation (Condon & Broderick 1991). This makes the radio-FIR correlation a useful diagnostic tool for identifying AGN candidates in the absence of good quality nuclear spectra and high resolution IR data. Among early-type galaxies a tendency has been shown for S0's to obey the star formation relation (Bally & Thronson 1989; Walsh et al. 1989; Wrobel & Heeschen 1991) while ellipticals are less well defined and can show AGN characteristics (Wrobel & Heeschen 1991). Our previous ISO results suggest that mixed pairs may represent an environment where active nuclei can be more easily generated via cross fueling of gas from the S component onto the slow rotating E component.

The results from the ISO studies motivated us to search for radio activity in a large sample of nearby mixed pairs by utilizing the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS, Condon et al. 1998). The NVSS survey provides complete coverage for the Karachentsev (1972) isolated pair (KPG) and Karachentseva (1973) isolated single galaxy (KIG) catalogs. NVSS resolves the radio emission in virtually all of the pairs while the matching IRAS survey does not resolve the IR emission. Both surveys provide a reasonably large fraction of detected galaxies enabling us to construct useful radio-FIR correlation diagrams. This makes it possible for us to identify pair members that deviate from the star formation driven correlation.

2. Sample Selection and Analysis Procedure

The KPG is likely the most complete local sample of isolated binary galaxies and is estimated to be reasonably complete to 10^4 km s^{-1} and $m_{Zw}=15.0$ (Xu & Sulentic 1991). The catalog contains about 550 accordant redshift binaries with mixed pairs (E/S0+S) accounting for approximately 25-30% of the sample. We restrict our study to mixed pairs with radial velocity $\leq 10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and component velocity difference $\Delta v \leq 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The final adopted

sample includes 112 mixed pairs. The optical positions of KPG components have a positional uncertainty between 1–10'' depending on the degree of central concentration in the galaxy. The NVSS catalog was searched for all 5σ source detections within 30'' of each galaxy in a mixed pair and radio-optical overlays assisted with identification. The NVSS survey is complete to $S_{1.4\text{GHz}}=2.5$ mJy and the rms positional uncertainty ranges from less than 1'' for $S_{1.4\text{GHz}}>15$ mJy up to 7'' for $S_{1.4\text{GHz}}<15$ mJy. The angular resolution of NVSS is $\sim 45''$ at FWHM. NVSS radio detections could immediately be assigned to one or both components in all but 20 of the pairs. Seventeen of the latter pairs with separation $D \leq 1$ arcmin showed a radio position that was $\leq 0.2D$ closer to one component than the other making these assignments reasonably secure in almost all sources. KPG93 was confused because NED has reversed the identifications of the early and late-type components (data for the spiral component belongs to the early type and vice versa). The NVSS radio detection belongs to the Seyfert spiral component. The NVSS radio position in KPG116 lies slightly closer to the spiral and very close to a bright stellar object—it may be radio-loud quasar (it is listed as a spiral detection here). In many cases radio detection assignments could be confirmed with higher resolution FIRST (Becker, White, & Helfand 1995) survey data (positional accuracy at or below 1'' at the survey threshold with FWHM of 5''). Sixteen of our pairs were included in FIRST and all of our NVSS detection assignments were confirmed. FIRST resolved two of the most ambiguous pairs involving KPG155 and 167. FIRST maps reveal that both components of KPG 155 are weak radio emitters but the early type is slightly stronger and the NVSS sources is centered on it. It was therefore assigned the NVSS detection. All of the emission from KPG167 originates from the compact early-type component (NED has them reversed). Table 1 summarizes the radio detection statistics and is formatted as follows: Column 1) KPG designation, 2) Pair Separation in arcmin 3) pair components detected, 4) NVSS flux for S detections, 5) NVSS flux for early-type detections, 6) adopted IRAS 60 μ m flux in Jy (Moshir et al. 1992) and 7) location of known spectroscopic “activity” listed as either the early-type (E) or the late-type (S) or both.

3. 1.4 GHz Detection Statistics

We define AGN here to include LINERs, Seyferts, Radio galaxies. We find that fourteen percent (detection fraction $DF=0.14$) of the pairs show radio emission from both components while the detection fractions for spirals and E/S0 components are $DF=0.57$ and $DF=0.29$, respectively. Radio continuum emission from 29% of the early-types in pairs would have been a surprising result before the detection of MIR emission from many of them during the ISO survey (Domingue et al. 2003). Perhaps more surprising is that 24 of the 32 detected early-type components show a higher radio flux than their spiral companion. If

the early type components in mixed pairs are the same as isolated galaxies of similar types then we expect similar radio detection fractions. We adopt the Catalog of Isolated Galaxies (Karachentseva 1973: KIG) as a control sample because it was compiled visually using an isolation criterion. In this sense it is a companion sample to the KPG and both samples show similar low galaxian density environments (typically, but not always, a nearest neighbor crossing-time of $T_c \sim 1$ Gyr). If the morphologies can be trusted then the two samples differ only in the sense that the KPG early-types are involved in interacting binaries with a spiral companion. We recently reclassified all galaxies in the KIG using POSS2 and identify a sample of $n=134$ E/S0 galaxies of which $x=25$ are detected by NVSS yielding $DF=0.18$. A recent independent compilation of $n=76$ early-types from the KIG (Stocke et al. 2004) yields $x=11$ detections for a $DF=0.14$ motivating us to adopt $DF=0.16$ for the control sample since both (overlapping) surveys have advantages and disadvantages. Adopting $DF=0.16$ as the probability for NVSS radio detection yields an expected sample of $x=18$ early-type KPG radio detections compared to $X=32$ observed. The binomial probabilities for detecting 18 (expected) and 32 (observed) early types out of a sample of 112 mixed pairs are 0.10 and 3×10^{-4} respectively. Thus there is reasonable evidence for an excess of radio emitting early type KPG members.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of NVSS radio luminosities ($H_0=75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$) for our KIG and KPG samples where we obtain mean values of $\log L_{nvss} = 21.5$ ($n=25$) and 21.9 ($n=32$) respectively. The distributions are not very Gaussian suggesting that a nonparametric rank-sum test is the more appropriate vehicle for comparing them. We obtain a rank-sum $R \sim 170$ and standard deviation $s \sim 60$ giving us an approximately 3σ difference in the sense that early-type members of KPG pairs are more radio luminous. We find almost twice the rate of radio detections and a factor $\times 3$ higher radio luminosity for KPG early-type members compared to our KIG control sample. This result raises two questions: 1) where did the gas giving rise to this effect come from and 2) is the excess radio emission a manifestation of star formation or AGN?

4. FIR-Radio Continuum Correlations

The substantial number of both radio and FIR detections may provide an answer to the second of two questions posed above. We can resolve the radio emission in essentially all of the pairs while very few are resolved by IRAS. We expect that most of the FIR emission is due to star formation in the spiral components. We can make a first attempt at calibrating the star formation contribution in the pairs by deriving a radio-FIR correlation relation for pairs where only the spiral component shows an NVSS radio detection. It is safe to assume

that any FIR contribution from an early-type galaxy is small if it is not detected by NVSS. The converse is not true. Figure 2a shows the radio-FIR relation for spiral-only pair NVSS detections. The strong correlation (de Jong et al. 1985; Helou et al. 1985; Reddy & Yun 2004) is thought to be driven by the relationship between thermal emission from dust heated by massive young stars and non-thermal emission related to the supernova demise of the same stars. Star formation is thought to be enhanced in KPG spirals because the FIR properties of both S+S and E/S0+S pairs are enhanced (Xu & Sulentic 1991; Toledo et al. 1999). Note that enhanced star formation will extend the correlation but little or no blurring is expected. The best fit regression line shown in Figure 2a gives a slope $\alpha=0.84$ with a $CC=0.82$ with $\sigma=0.22$. Four outlier spiral-only detections were not included in the fit shown in Figure 2a. They show excess radio emission (we know that the radio emission does not come from the early-type). Three of these galaxies (KPG 83, 419 and 526) show nuclear AGN/LINER spectra while KPG 116 involves the aforementioned radio quasar candidate. Our derived slope for the correlation is very similar to other estimates (e.g. $\alpha=0.76$ in Reddy & Yun 2004) and is consistent with the plotted star formation band in Fig.2 defined within the 3σ deviations of the flux-flux relation for the 2Jy IRAS sample of Yun, Reddy, & Condon (2001). We next consider pairs with an early-type radio detection. Figure 2b presents the radio-FIR correlation diagram for early-type detections in both the KIG (open boxes) and KPG (closed boxes) samples. Pairs included in Figure 2a are therefore not shown here. Points for the KPG sample indicate NVSS flux for the early type galaxy only. The vertical line indicates the contribution of the spiral component in pairs where both galaxies were detected. It is interesting that the KIG sample follows the star-formation band rather well with a slope for that sample of $\alpha=0.64$, $CC=0.71$ and standard deviation = 0.26. The simplest interpretation of the KIG correlation is that the S0 part of the sample shows low level star formation as suggested for other samples (e.g. Wrobel & Heeschen 1991). The origin of the emission from elliptical galaxies is less clear. The KIG sample is taken as indicative of the typical radio/FIR properties for field early-type galaxies. Figure 2b shows the population of KPG early-type galaxies with higher than average radio fluxes. Only a few of the pairs where both components are NVSS detected (KPG 86, 127, 234, 476) show radio emission dominated by the spiral component. These pairs involve KPG spirals with very strong star formation. The residual radio emission from the early-type components in those pairs tends to be weaker than average. It is reasonable to assume that the spirals dominate the FIR flux even more and correction for it would move the early-type components towards the left and into the principal KIG occupation domain ($\log S_{IRAS} < 3.0$).

We adopt $\log S_{nvss} > 1.0$ as the zone of interest since only 5 KIG detections fall slightly above this level. KIG sources also follow the radio-FIR correlation more closely than the KPG early-types so we exclude sources with $\log S_{iras} > 3.0$ as likely to involve early-type

components (S0) with FIR emission dominated by star formation. This zone boundary marks a conservative intersection of the outliers and the star formation band.

This leaves one KIG detection in the zone of interest compared to 11 early-type pair components (in eight cases only the early-type was detected by NVSS). An additional 6 of the latter class may move into the zone of interest after correction for IRAS flux from the spiral components (KPG sources with $\log S_{IRAS} > 3.25$ other than KPG 86, 127, 234 and 476). It is therefore possible that about 17 early-type pair components show unusually strong radio emission relative to the KIG defined radio-FIR relation. Ten known AGN (radio galaxy/Seyfert/LINER) are already known among this early-type population with many of the others lacking any modern spectroscopic data. Note that the radio-FIR correlation will only help to find AGN that show above average radio emission. Some of the known AGN in our sample fall at or below the lower edge of the zone of interest. A spectroscopic survey, especially of the remaining candidate pairs in the zone of interest, will likely reveal additional active nuclei among the early-type detections. Comparison of NVSS and FIRST radio data supports this expectation. While NVSS resolution is too low to resolve the emission within a pair component, it is likely to detect all of the radio flux. FIRST, on the contrary, is insensitive to extended emission due to spatial frequency attenuation in that VLA survey. Typically we find a large difference between peak and integrated flux for spiral FIRST detections of spiral components indicating resolution of the source. The resolved emission is likely related to star formation leaving, in many cases, little unresolved flux that could be assigned to a nuclear AGN. Most of the FIRST detections of early-type components show near equality between NVSS, peak FIRST and integrated FIRST radio fluxes. This indicates that most of the radio emission is from early-types is unresolved which is consistent with an AGN-related radio signature.

The excess of early-type radio-detections in pairs motivates serious consideration of an interaction related explanation. Gas transfer from the gas-rich spiral to the early-type (i.e. cross-fueling) component has been discussed for many years (Haynes, Giovanelli, & Chin-carini 1984; Demin 1984; Sotnikova 1988ab; Sulentic 1992; Anderson, Sulentic, & Rampazzo 1994; de Mello et al. 1996;). Some of the pairs have been discussed in this context including KPG468 one of the most FIR luminous pairs in our sample. In this case correction for radio/FIR emission from the spiral does not significantly change the position of the source in Figure 2b. The early-type companion involves: 1) both starburst and AGN signatures while 2) the underlying morphology suggests that it is an early-type and 3) the known kinematical data allow for the possibility of cross-fueling (Jenkins 1984; Gonzalez-Delgado et al. 1996; Hernandez-Toledo et al. 2003). The activity in the early-type component of KPG234 is not yet extreme but shows evidence consistent with mass transfer (Gonzalez-Delgado et al. 1997). In the case of KPG591 kinematical data and modeling suggest that mass transfer

has taken place (Marcelin et al. 1987; Salo & Laurikainen 1993). In some of these cases the early-type companion is likely S0 rather than elliptical. Examples of cross fueling might be more difficult to identify in such cases because the KIG control sample suggests that they are more likely to show radio and FIR emission from low level star formation activity.

The most surprising result involves the number of pairs where ONLY the early-type component is detected in NVSS. At least nine of the pairs in our zone of interest fall in this class including two radio-galaxies (KPG303 and 445). How can one cross fuel if the donor spiral shows no significant ISM signature? In at least one case (KPG93) recent HST images appear to show *in flagrante delicto* cross fueling from, in this case, an active Seyfert spiral onto an S0 manifesting low level LINER activity (Keel 2004). In this case the pair separation challenges the resolving power of NVSS. However the accuracy of the NVSS radio position for a 15 mJy detection is very high and suggests that most of the radio emission originates in the early-type component (Condon et al. 2002 note that one cannot rule out a contribution from the spiral). The same can be said for very close pairs KPG 460 and 487. In the other cases the separation allows no ambiguity in the detection assignment. Is the spiral misclassified in these cases (e.g. an "early-type" pair; Rampazzo & Sulentic 1992)? Our unpublished CCD images for all of these pairs show evidence for spiral structure and allow only KPG 383 to be questioned as a true mixed pair. The more likely explanation is that the spirals in early-type only NVSS detected pairs are experiencing low levels of star formation. Perhaps donor spirals become anemic by cross fueling their companion and nuclear fueling of their own AGN in response to tidal effects of the galaxy being fueled (e.g. KPG93)? Both radio galaxies in our sample (KPG303 and 445) show companions with a well defined disk but little evidence for HII regions or dust lanes. They are the most anemic "spirals" in our sample. We are not suggesting that all of our early-type detections are examples of cross fueling. Some may simply involve slow rotators with a small amount of gas that is more rapidly channeled into their nuclei through the dynamical effect of the spiral.

5. Summary and Discussion

We find a significant excess of mixed pairs with early-type NVSS detections that also show a $\times 3$ enhancement in average radio luminosity. The detections involve both E and S0 companions of spiral galaxies. We suggest that this excess can be interpreted as a population of early-types cross fueled by their gas rich neighbors. A surprising number already show evidence of nuclear activity including AGN/LINER/starburst while modern spectroscopic data exists for only about half of them. We suggest that cross fueling onto slow rotators will more quickly fuel nuclear AGN activity than in spirals. We may be observing a sequence of

activity beginning with cross fueling that leads to enhanced star formation activity followed by ignition of an AGN. At least two of our early type detections involve hybrid starburst/AGN activity. The two most extreme cases involving radio galaxies show disk-like companions that might be interpreted as anemic spirals or lenticulars. These may represent the end cycle of the process where AGN activity leads to development of radio loudness in the last stages of the AGN process. KPG445 shows a double-jet radio morphology consistent with the early stages in the development of double-lobed (FR II) structure.

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by JPL, Caltech, under contract with the NASA.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, V., Sulentic, J. W., & Rampazzo, R. 1994, in *Mass-Transfer Induced Activity in Galaxies*, ed. Isaac Shlosman (Cambridge University Press), 394
- Bally, J., Thronson, H.A., Jr. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 69
- Becker, R. H., White, R. L., & Helfand, D. J. 1995, *ApJ*, 450, 559
- Condon, J. J., Condon, M., Gisler, G., & Puschell, J. 1982, *ApJ*252, 102
- Condon, J. J., Broderick, J. J 1991, *AJ*, 102, 1663
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., Yin, Q. F., Perley, R. A., Taylor, G. B., Broderick, J. J. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Broderick, J. J. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 675
- Corbett, E.A., Robinson, A., Axon, D.J., Young, S. & Hough, J.H. 1998, *MNRAS*, 296, 721
- de Jong, T., Klein, U., Wielebinski, R., & Wuderlich, E. 1985, *A&A*, 146, L6
- de Mello, D. F., Sulentic, J. W., de Souza, R. E., Reduzzi, L., & Rampazzo, R. 1996, *A&A*, 308, 387
- Demin, V.V. 1984, *AZh*, 61, 1063
- Domingue, D.L., Sulentic, J.W., Xu, C., Mazzarella, J., Gao, Y., & Rampazzo, R. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 555
- Falcke, H., Nagar, N.M., Wilson, A.S., Ulvestad, J.S. 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 197
- González-Delgado, M. R., & Pérez, E. 1996, *MNRAS*, 281, 781
- González-Delgado, M. R., & Pérez, E. 1997, *MNRAS*, 284, 931
- Haynes, M. P., Giovanelli, R., Chincarini, G. L. 1984, *ARA&A*, 22, 445
- Helou, G., Soifer, B. T., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1985, *ApJ*, 298, L7
- Hernández-Toledo, H.M., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., Gonzalez, J.J. & Sulentic, J.W. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 108
- Hernández-Toledo, H.M., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., & Sulentic, J.W. 2001, *AJ*, 121, 1319
- Ho, L.C. 1999, *ApJ*, 510, 631
- Jenkins, C. R. 1984, *ApJ*, 277, 501
- Karachentsev, I.D. 1972, *Comm. Spec. Astrophys. Obs.*, USSR, 7, 1
- Karachentseva, V. E. 1973, *Comm. Spec. Astrophys. Obs.* USSR, 8, 1
- Keel, W. C., Kennicutt, R.C., Hummel, E., & van der Hulst, J.M. 1985, *AJ*, 90, 708

- Keel, W.C. 1991, *ApJ*, 375, 5
- Keel, W.C. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 696
- Keel, W.C. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 1325
- Kennicutt, R.C., Roettiger, K.A., Keel, W.C., van der Hulst, J.M., & Hummel, E. 1987, *AJ*, 93, 1011
- Knapp, G. R., Gunn, J. E., Wynn-Williams, C. G. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, 76
- Liu, F.K. & Zhang, Y.H. 2002, *A&A*, 381, 757
- Magliocchetti, M., et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, 333, 100
- Marcelin, M., Lecoarer, E., Boulesteix, J., Georgelin, Y., & Monnet, G. 1987, *A&A*, 179, 101
- Moshir, M., et al. 1992, Explanatory Supplement to the IRAS Faint Source Survey, version 2, JPL D-10015 8/92 (Pasadena: JPL)
- Puschell, J.J. 1981, *ApJ*, 247, 48
- Rampazzo, R. & Sulentic, J.W. 1992, *A&A*, 259, 43
- Reduzzi, L. & Rampazzo, R. 1995, *ApL&C*, 30, 1
- Reddy, N. A. & Yun, M. S. 2004, *ApJ*, 600, 695
- Sadler, E.M., Jenkins, C.R., & Kotanyi, C.G. 1989, *MNRAS*, 240, 591
- Salo, H. & Laurikainen, E. 1993, *ApJ*, 410, 586
- Slee, O. B., Sadler, E. M., Reynolds, J. E., Ekers, R. D. 1994, *MNRAS*, 269, 928
- Sotnikova, N. 1988a, *Astrofisika*, 28, 293
- Sotnikova, N. 1988b, *Astrofisika*, 28, 495
- Stocke, J. T., Keeney, B. A., Lewis, A. D., Epps, H. A., & Schild, R. E. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 1336
- Sulentic, J. W. 1976, *ApJS*, 32, 171
- Sulentic, J. W. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 2066
- Sulentic, J. W. 1992, in *Morphological and Physical Classification of Galaxies*, eds. Longo, Capaccioli, and Busarello, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 293
- Sulentic, J. W., Zwitter, T., Marziani, P., & Dultzin-Hacyan, D. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 5
- Walsh, D. E. P., Knapp, G. R., Wrobel, J. M., Kim, D.W. 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 209
- Wrobel, J.M., & Heeschen, D.S. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 148
- Xu, C. & Sulentic, J.W. 1991, *ApJ*, 374, 407

- Xu, C., Gao, Y., Mazzarella, J., Lu, N., Sulentic, J. W., & Domingue, D. L. 2000, ApJ, 541, 644
- Yun, M.S., Reddy, N.A., Condon, J. J. 2001, ApJ, 554, 803
- Zasov, A. V. & Sulentic, J. W. 1994, ApJ, 430, 179

Fig. 1.— Histogram of radio luminosity for the 32 early-type KPG's detected by NVSS and for the 25 early-type KIG's NVSS detected.

Fig. 2.— (a) NVSS flux vs. $60\mu\text{m}$ IRAS flux in log-log scale for the 46 pairs with only a spiral detection. We use these pairs to determine a Radio/FIR correlation for star forming galaxies of this sample. The 4 labeled pairs are excluded from the fit. The solid lines mark the region of star forming galaxies defined from a 3sigma deviation to the correlation of Yun, Reddy, & Condon 2001. (b) The same as (a) for pairs with detected early-types (closed circles) and star formation band as in (a). Also plotted are the early-type galaxies (open squares) from the KIG control sample. Dashed vertical lines display the pairs before and after removing the spiral contribution from the total NVSS flux.

Table 1. Mixed Pairs with Radio Detections.

KPG Name	Sep (')	NVSS Detection	S _{1.4GHz} (S) (mJy)	S _{1.4GHz} (E/S0) (mJy)	IRAS S ₆₀ (Jy)	AGN Location
078	3.50	both	64.7	15.9	3.55	...
086	3.48	both	26.4	4.6	4.32	E ¹
127	6.32	both	267.0	2.9	12.00	...
188	1.23	both	3.0	3.9	1.09	...
202	1.29	both	24.7	7.0	2.27	...
229	4.57	both	6.7	31.1	0.59	...
234	2.35	both	97.5	3.2	7.83	E&S ¹
353	2.57	both	54.4	29.1	6.11	...
436	1.21	both	7.4	4.0	0.83	...
468	0.77	both	6.3	91.4	10.00	E&S ²
476	1.98	both	11.9	19.2	2.70	...
508	3.79	both	4.7	145.1	0.34	E ³
530	9.27	both	4.4	14.6	0.40	...
552	1.23	both	5.5	16.9	2.80	E ⁴
553	1.42	both	5.8	6.3	0.35	...
591	2.00	both	16.9	24.9	4.80	...
062	2.39	E	...	11.9	0.22	...
093	0.21	E	...	15.2	0.87	E&S ⁵
130	0.53	E	...	8.1	1.34	...
155	0.33	E	...	3.8	0.52	...
167	0.44	E	...	3.1	0.48	...
239	3.92	E	...	10.7	0.18	...
303	2.03	E	...	481.4	0.14	E ⁶
383	0.45	E	...	39.2	0.50	...
386	1.97	E	...	31.5	0.50	...
445	0.87	E	...	385.3	0.14	E ⁷
460	0.79	E	...	15.3	0.13	...
485	0.35	E	...	3.5	0.20	...
487	0.32	E	...	12.9	1.85	...
509	2.15	E	...	11.5	0.33	...
536	0.42	E	...	20.2	1.49	E ⁴
537	1.37	E	...	2.5	0.24	...
061	2.02	S	10.0	...	0.54	...
074	0.68	S	5.6	...	0.44	...
079	1.02	S	3.8	...	1.11	...
081	4.58	S	7.7	...	0.42	...
083	0.71	S	154.6	...	5.06	S ⁴
089	1.51	S	2.4	...	0.38	...
101	0.67	S	4.4	...	0.49	...
116	1.57	S	213.1	...	0.84	...
121	2.08	S	8.2	...	0.57	...
122	1.34	S	7.2	...	0.66	...
128	3.30	S	23.2	...	1.99	...
134	0.60	S	7.4	...	0.41	...
144	0.54	S	10.2	...	1.38	...

Table 1—Continued

KPG Name	Sep (')	NVSS Detection	$S_{1.4GHz}(S)$ (mJy)	$S_{1.4GHz}(E/S0)$ (mJy)	IRAS S ₆₀ (Jy)	AGN Location
153	0.54	S	2.8	...	0.40	...
182	0.92	S	4.8	...	0.79	...
198	1.31	S	8.4	...	0.66	...
209	0.45	S	22.7	...	0.68	...
243	1.08	S	9.1	...	0.93	...
248	0.77	S	19.8	...	1.52	...
269	1.07	S	21.5	...	1.37	...
275	1.57	S	17.0	...	0.50	...
284	2.68	S	15.3	...	1.02	...
304	1.68	S	9.4	...	0.69	E ⁸
317	2.25	S	2.8	...	0.28	...
363	0.37	S	38.8	...	5.73	S ⁴
380	0.91	S	4.0	...	0.19	...
393	2.38	S	13.6	...	1.03	...
394	1.57	S	23	...	1.81	...
407	0.32	S	5.8	...	0.76	...
412	6.15	S	2.2	...	0.28	...
416	3.21	S	29.0	...	3.36	...
419	3.82	S	338.8	...	8.41	S ⁴
429	4.31	S	4.8	...	0.61	...
432	3.35	S	3.6	...	0.70	...
439	0.86	S	35.3	...	3.69	...
451	5.61	S	29.4	...	5.11	...
465	4.00	S	9.1	...	1.08	...
519	3.03	S	2.9	...	0.53	...
526	2.31	S	182.2	...	0.64	S ⁴
542	0.68	S	29.2	...	2.47	...
547	0.67	S	17.7	...	1.73	...
570	5.20	S	45.7	...	0.21	...
572	0.92	S	13.1	...	0.50	...
576	1.55	S	2.6.0	...	0.38	...
583	1.00	S	3.0	...	0.62	...
596	0.67	S	10.0	...	1.82	...

References. — (1) Ho et al. 1995; (2) González-Delgado & Pérez 1996; (3) Corbett et al. 1998; (4) Keel 1996; (5) Keel 2004; (6) Puschell 1981; (7) Liu & Zhang 2002; (8) Magliocchetti et al. 2002

